

Regular Meeting, Wednesday, June 26, 2019, 7:00 p.m. Government Center, Verona, VA.

PRESENT: Gerald W. Garber, Chairman
Carolyn S. Bragg-Vice Chairman
G.L. "Butch" Wells
Michael L. Shull
Wendell L. Coleman
Pam L. Carter
Marshall W. Pattie
Timothy K. Fitzgerald, County Administrator
Jennifer M. Whetzel, Deputy County Administrator
John Wilkinson, Director of Community Development
Leslie Tate, Planner
James R. Benkahla, County Attorney
Angie Michael, Executive Assistant

VIRGINIA: At a regular meeting of the Augusta County Board of Supervisors held on Wednesday, June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m., at the Government Center, Verona, Virginia, and in the 243rd year of the Commonwealth....

* * * * *

Chairman Garber welcomed the citizens present.

* * * * *

Wendell Coleman, Supervisor for the Wayne District, led us with the Pledge of Allegiance.

* * * * *

Wendell Coleman, Supervisor for the Wayne District, delivered the invocation.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-4 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT-MOBILE HOME

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment to the definition of mobile home which removes reference to the Industrialized Building Unit and Manufactured Home Safety Laws and also removes the second sentence of the definition which acts as a regulatory statement and does not constitute a definition. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Leslie Tate, Planner, stated that the amended definition does not make any regulatory changes pertaining to mobile homes. It's a housekeeping amendment and references the National Manufactured Home Construction Safety Standards Act of 1976 to distinguish between a mobile home and a manufactured home.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Mr. Coleman, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-4 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT-MOBILE HOME (CON'TD)

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None
Absent: Garber

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-4 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT-LIMITED OUTDOOR STORAGE

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that adds limited outdoor storage definition from the Planned Commerce zoning district to the definition section of the zoning ordinance to clarify that such definition applies for all zoning districts when referenced. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated that the definition being proposed to add to the definition section is for limited outdoor storage. In the current zoning ordinance, limited outdoor storage is defined just this way and the planned commerce zoning district. This amendment adds the definition to the definition section of the zoning ordinance to clarify that this definition applies to all zoning districts when referring to outdoor storage or limited outdoor storage.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Wells, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-73 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that maintains current size regulations when constructing an addition to a structure to be used as an accessory dwelling unit, but amends the percentage and size regulations for an attached accessory dwelling unit that does not constitute an addition. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated the next four agenda items is the exact same thing, but changing it in four different sections. Ms. Tate showed a map on the screen. The proposed amendment is to keep the same standards and restrictions that exist currently if trying to add on to the dwelling. However, if someone wants to finish a basement and rent it out as an apartment, but not the size of the principle structure the restrictions are relaxed somewhat with this amendment. This section is in the General Agriculture section.

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-73 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT (CONT'D)

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter
 and Pattie
 Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-123 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that maintains current size regulations when constructing an addition to a structure to be used as an accessory dwelling unit, but amends the percentage and size regulations for an attached accessory dwelling unit that does not constitute an addition. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated this section is for Rural Residential zoning districts.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter
 and Pattie
 Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-454.2 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that maintains current size regulations when constructing an addition to a structure to be used as an accessory dwelling unit, but amends the percentage and size regulations for an attached accessory dwelling unit that does not constitute an addition. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated this section is for Village Mixed Use zoning district.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-454.2 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT (CONT'D)

There being no speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-133 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that maintains current size regulations when constructing an addition to a structure to be used as an accessory dwelling unit, but amends the percentage and size regulations for an attached accessory dwelling unit that does not constitute an addition. Amendment also removes reference to detached accessory dwelling units which are not permitted in Single Family Residential districts. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated this section is for the Single Family Residential zoning district. Number five is an incorrect reference in the ordinance to attached and detached accessory dwelling unit. Detached accessory dwelling unit is not permitted.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-74 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that permits a facility operator to personally reside on site with proof of lease between property owner and resident manager/facility operator. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated that this is in the General Agriculture zoning districts. There is a provision which allows application for a special use permit for short-term rentals, bed and breakfast and vacation rentals. There was a provision added that the owner of record primary residence is the principle dwelling or accessory dwelling unit. The intention at the time is that someone would be living on the property full time and be accountable. The amendment being proposed would allow a facility operator to reside on the property and then an additional provision is the owner of record would provide to the Zoning Administrator proof of a lease between the facility operator and the owner as a

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-74 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT (CONT'D)

precondition of the permit. The owner would submit any subsequent lease agreements within ten days of signature when the lease was to change.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

Tom Benzing of 1045 Old White Bridge Road is opposed to the proposed changes to the current ordinance requiring a bed and breakfast owner to reside on sight. Without the owners present, there will be no accountability to the neighbors. Mr. Benzing has voiced his concern about this proposed change to the Planning Commission. At that meeting there was confusion between Bed and Breakfast and Air B & B's. Air B & B's are short-term rentals and in the current language of the ordinance Bed and Breakfast and Air B & B's are the same. There is a name for a facility where an owner is not present and that's a hotel. Without the requirement to live on sight, the same person could open multiple facilities and that's a hotel chain. During the Planning Commission meeting, it was stated that the County operates on a complaint based system. It is assumed the County does not inspect B & B's, but instead the County only responds to neighbor or customer complaints. If this is true, the owner occupant will be more responsive to complaints than an absentee owner. Augusta County would be opening up for more complaints given this change.

Rebecca Hannah of 156 Dividing Ridge Road is in favor of amending the ordinance to allow long term leasers manage the property.

There being no other speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Mr. Garber asked for clarification if this item could be tabled and revisited at a later time.

James Benkahla, County Attorney, stated that it could be tabled.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Coleman, that the Board table the agenda item to the next Board of Supervisors meeting on July 24, 2019.

Dr. Pattie asked for an explanation of what needed to be clarified and reviewed.

Mr. Coleman stated that it was his understanding that the change was made in the beginning to allow for more flexibility.

Dr. Pattie recapped why the decision was made to amend the ordinance to make it acceptable that the property owner not be on the premises. He does not want to vote for a law that will effect over 74,000 residents of Augusta County when it only concerns a small portion.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Shull, and Carter
 Nays: Wells and Pattie

Motion carried.

* * * * *

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-124 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that permits a facility operator to personally reside on site with proof of lease between property owner and resident manager/facility operator. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated that this amendment is the same as the previous one, but covers Rural Residential zoning districts as opposed to the General Agriculture zoning.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

Tom Benzing would like the Board to separate Bed and Breakfast from short-term rental in the ordinance.

There being no other speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Dr. Pattie moved, seconded by Mr. Wells, that the Board accept the ordinance as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Wells and Pattie
 Nays: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Shull,
 and Carter

Motion fails.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board refer the item back to the Ordinance Committee.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Shull, Bragg, Carter, and Coleman
 Nays: Wells and Pattie

Motion carried.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board reconsider the previous vote.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter
 and Pattie
 Nays: None

Motion carried.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board table the agenda item until the next Board of Supervisors meeting on July 24, 2019.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Shull, Bragg, Carter, and Coleman
 Nays: Wells and Pattie

Motion carried.

* * * * *

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-56 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that adds walk-in freezers and generators as accessory to business and commercial establishments provided they are shielded or screened from view. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated that there is a long list of uses in the ordinance that are considered accessory and customary to business establishments. This proposal is specifically adding walk in freezers and generators provided they are fully shielded or screened from view. The County does not permit outdoor storage in business so this amendment will eliminate the need for a Special Use Permit to have a walk in freezer or generator.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no other speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

CHAPTER 25, SECTION 25-57 – ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

This being the day and time advertised to consider an amendment that adds walk-in freezers and generators as accessory to industrial establishments. The Planning Commission recommends approval.

Ms. Tate stated this is similar to the previous amendment, but is in uses accessory to industrial establishments. Outdoor storage is allowed in industrial zoned districts so it is not required the walk in freezers and generators be shielded or screened from view.

The Chairman declared the public hearing open.

There being no other speakers, the Chairman declared the public hearing closed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board approve the ordinance amendment as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

SHENANDOAH MOUNTAIN NATIONAL SCENIC AREA PROPOSAL (CONT'D)

WHEREAS Augusta County is opposed to hydro fracking on National Forest land (Oct. 28, 2010 letter to GW & Jefferson NF);

WHEREAS the Proposal would support the objectives of the county comprehensive plan;

WHEREAS the SMNSA Proposal has been endorsed by 400 businesses and organizations and by numerous farmers and neighboring landowners, many of which are in Augusta County;

WHEREAS all current access roads would remain open within the Proposal area;

WHEREAS the proposed boundaries of the SMNSA will be adjusted by 200 feet from roadway centerline to edge of National Scenic Area boundary;

WHEREAS the National Scenic Area legislation will be written to support:

- maintenance of and improvements to existing dams and reservoirs within the proposal (Staunton Dam, Elkhorn Lake, and Todd Lake),
- tapping into existing reservoirs for municipal use, and
- establishment of new reservoirs, if needed, for the public good;

WHEREAS recreational facilities, such as North River Campground, Todd Lake Recreation Area, Staunton Dam Picnic Area, and the parking lot on Reddish Knob, could be maintained;

WHEREAS trails may be maintained, and new trails constructed;

WHEREAS prescribed fire may be conducted in the SMNSA;

WHEREAS wildlife clearings in the SMNSA, such as those on Bald Mountain Road, may be maintained and some new clearings may be added;

WHEREAS pests and non-native invasive species may be controlled, as determined necessary by the Forest Service;

WHEREAS National Forest fire and rescue procedures and policies for both Wilderness and National Scenic Area would protect life and adjacent private property;

WHEREAS members of the public may continue to gather firewood in the National Scenic Area with a National Forest permit;

WHEREAS designation of the SMNSA pertains to National Forest land only and would in no way restrict private property rights of landowners adjacent to or within the area;

WHEREAS designation of the SMNSA would support and enhance the local tourism economy and quality of life for county residents;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Augusta County Board of Supervisors supports Congressional designation of the Shenandoah Mountain National Scenic Area and embedded Wilderness areas.

Timothy Fitzgerald, County Administrator, stated that the Shenandoah Mountain National Scenic Area requests support to move forward with a new scenic area and a very limited area of wilderness in part of Augusta County.

Dr. Pattie moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board approve the resolution in support of Congressional designation.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Coleman, Pattie,
 and Carter
 Nays: Shull

Motion carried.

* * * * *

NATURAL CHIMNEYS POOL RENOVATION

Consider Natural Chimneys pool renovations in the amount of \$179,300.00. This amount includes a 10% contingency.

Jennifer Whetzel, Deputy County Administrator, stated that bids were received for the project and the winning bid was National Pools of Roanoke for a total of \$179,300.00. There was a separate part of the project that was bid to do a water feature for the younger kids at the pool. It was determined at the time to not move forward with that project and rebid at a later date. The funding for the project will come

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

NATURAL CHIMNEYS POOL RENOVATION (CONT'D)
from the Parks and Recreation depreciation account.

Dr. Pattie moved, seconded by Ms. Bragg, that the Board approve funding for the renovations of the Natural Chimneys pool and pump house equipment.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

REFUND REQUEST

Consider the following refund request from the Commissioner of the Revenue for Kenneth Barry Perkins in the amount of \$2,701.65.

James Benkahla, County Attorney, stated that the Commissioner of the Revenue has confirmed through the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs the Mr. Perkins has qualified for 100% disability since September 18, 2017. The sum of \$2,701.65 was overpaid due to the exemption from real estate taxes provided to disabled veterans. Mr. Benkahla has reviewed all documents.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Dr. Pattie, that the Board approve the refund request.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Coleman, Wells, Shull, Carter and Pattie
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

ROUTE 792 SANGERS LANE SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Consider funding the project.

Funding Source: Wayne Infrastructure 80000-8017-103 \$25,000.00

Mr. Fitzgerald stated there has been a funding request from VDOT for \$25,000.00 from the Wayne Infrastructure account to help with a VDOT project for Route 792, Sangers Lane Safety Improvement.

Mr. Coleman moved, seconded by Mr. Shull, that the Board approve the funding request from the Wayne Infrastructure account.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie and Carter
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUEST – JUDGE/COURT SCHEDULE CHANGE

Consider staffing request due to increased court services in the amount Of \$361,663.00.

Funding: Circuit Court Clerk's Office – 2 Clerks	\$ 99,814.00
Commonwealth Attorney's Office – 2 Attorneys	\$160,411.00
Sheriff's Office – 2 Court Security Officers	\$101,438.00

Ms. Whetzel stated that the Board has previously discussed the need for additional staffing at the Circuit Court and the Juvenile and Domestic Court. With new Judges being appointed, court will be running more times per week than in the past. Circuit will be running nine times a week verses five times a week. Juvenile and Domestic Court will have some extra dockets related to their cases. This change is expected to occur around July 1, 2019. With court running more often, there are several offices that see the need for more staffing in order to be in court and as well as process the information that comes from court. The Clerk is requesting two positions, Commonwealth's Attorney is requesting two attorneys and the sheriff's office is requesting two court security officers. The total funding for all six positions would be \$361,661.00. This would go into the FY2020 budget.

Mr. Shull would like to break down the request into three different votes.

Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Mr. Coleman, that the Board approve the request for two Circuit Court Clerks.

Mr. Wells asked if either of the Clerk's positions were considered for part-time.

Mr. Fitzgerald stated that the acting Clerk is looking for two part-time Clerks, but in order to adequately staff the second court room four days a week there are two full time Clerks needed.

Ms. Carter agrees with hiring part-time.

Mr. Coleman stated there has been sufficient justification for the additional staffing. The need is based on state records.

Mr. Wells fully respects the opinion of Staff and there will be an additional work load. It is easier to take a part-time position and make it full time than it is to reduce the position from full time to part-time. There is an unknown at this point on what the demand will actually be.

Ms. Carter states for the record that the positions were approved after the budget cycle.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Wells, Shull, and Coleman
 Nays: Pattie and Carter
 Abstain: Bragg

Motion carried.

Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board approve utilizing the attorney in charge of the Litter Control Program and hire an investigator.

Tim Martin, Commonwealth Attorney, stated that the second Attorney is in charge of the Litter Control Program and does part-time JDR work and then part-time working with the Litter Control Program. The investigator would allow the second attorney to be closer to

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

full

ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUEST – JUDGE/COURT SCHEDULE CHANGE (CONT'D)
time in terms of court, but would still be responsible for the Litter Control Program. If only an investigator was hired, the coverage would not be possible in all courts. It would be possible with an investigator and an attorney, but without an extra attorney the services provided would be limited.

Ms. Bragg asked if there is an investigator would that free up time for the other attorneys and there would be more time.

Mr. Martin stated that an Investigator would give them a small increase of time.

Ms. Carter asked if there is recommendation from the Comp Board on the number of Attorneys needed. Is there a way to meet the needs, but not at the cost of \$160,000.00?

Mr. Martin stated that the Comp Board provides Attorneys for the Circuit Court cases only. At the cost of \$160,000.00, the additional Attorney could be an Investigator. Having an Investigator is the greatest need that is not an Attorney. The man hours in court that the Clerk's office is referencing have to be manned by an Attorney.

Mr. Coleman understands that the budget process has been completed, but if the work is to be done there has to be people to handle the work load.

After further discussion of needs and how they can be handled, Mr. Shull withdrew his motion.

Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Ms. Carter, that the Board approve an Attorney and an Investigator and will look at case load during the next budget process and if there is an additional need for another Attorney it can be approved at that time.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie and Carter
 Nays: None

Motion carried.

Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Ms. Bragg, that the Board approve the two security officers for the Sheriff's Department for the courts.

Mr. Wells raised the question regarding part-time bailiffs.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie and Carter
 Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

SIX-YEAR PLAN

Consider the advertised FY19-25 Secondary System Six-Year Plan and Fiscal Year 2019-20 Construction Budget.

Mr. Fitzgerald stated that the plan has not changed since the public hearing.

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

SIX-YEAR PLAN (CONT'D)

Dr. Pattie moved, seconded by Ms. Bragg, that the Board approve the VDOT Six-Year Plan as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Pattie
 and Carter
 Nays: None
 Absent: Coleman

Motion carried.

* * * * *

OUTDOOR MUSICAL OR ENTERTAINMENT FESTIVAL

Consider application as submitted by Club at Ironwood for an outdoor event to be held at 62 Country Club Circle, Staunton, VA on July 13, 2019 (Beverly Manor District).

Mr. Fitzgerald stated there has been a request from the Club at Ironwood to have a beach music weekend. These events have taken place in the past. All required documents have been received and reviewed.

Ms. Bragg moved, seconded by Mr. Wells, that the Board approve the application as presented.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie
 and Carter
 Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

WAIVERS -- NONE

* * * * *

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC

Rosanne Vrugtman from the US Census Bureau gave an update on the progress of the 2020 census.

* * * * *

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY THE BOARD

Ms. Carter reminded everyone of the Churchville parade on Saturday.

Mr. Shull moved, seconded by Ms. Bragg that the Board direct the County Administrator to have the names removed from the Litter Control van.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie
and Carter
Nays: None

Motion carried.

Mr. Shull was pleased with the turn out for the Raphine parade. He met with Dr. Bond on issues with the old Riverheads Elementary. It is not able to be used due to not having water in the building. The facility is heavily used and they are in the process of gathering costs for upgrades to the facility. It is requested that Mr. Wells partner on the expense.

Ms. Bragg asked if this would be an ongoing cost or just a startup cost.

Mr. Shull stated this is an initial cost to get everything back in working order.

Ms. Bragg is willing to contribute to the project as well.

* * * * *

MATTERS TO BE PRESENTED BY STAFF

- 1) Mr. Fitzgerald gave a handout from Dominion Power on a public meeting being held on June 27 on the Dooms to Valley substation tower rebuild project.
- 2) A court case data handout was given to the Board. There are no dark hours in Juvenile and Domestic Court, General District Court has three days per month of dark hours and the Circuit Court has no dark hours in one court room and the other court room has four days per month of dark hours.
- 3) He attended the Augusta Health Community Health Needs Assessment presentation.
- 4) Ms. Whetzel gave a handout to the Board regarding a question on industries that qualify for exemption from MS4 calculations.

* * * * *

CLOSED SESSION

On motion of Ms. Bragg, seconded by Mr. Shull, the Board went into closed session pursuant to:

- (1) **the real property exemption under Virginia Code § 2.2-3711(A)(3)**
[discussion of the acquisition for a public purpose, or disposition, of real property]:
 - a) Augusta County Courthouse Property

On motion of Dr. Pattie, seconded by Ms. Carter, the Board came out of Closed Session.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie,
and Carter
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

June 26, 2019, at 7:00 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION (CONT'D)

The Chairman advised that each member is required to certify that to the best of their knowledge during the closed session only the following was discussed:

1. Public business matters lawfully exempted from statutory open meeting requirements, and
2. Only such public business matters identified in the motion to convene the executive session.

The Chairman asked if there is any Board member who cannot so certify.

Hearing none, the Chairman called upon the County Administrator/ Clerk of the Board to call the roll noting members of the Board who approve the certification shall answer AYE and those who cannot shall answer NAY.

Roll Call Vote was as follows:

Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Wells, Shull, Coleman, Pattie,
and Carter
Nays: None

Motion carried.

The Chairman authorized the County Administrator/Clerk of the Board to record this certification in the minutes.

* * * * *

* * * * *

ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business to come before the Board, Ms. Carter moved, seconded by Ms. Bragg, the Board adjourn subject to call of the Chairman.

Vote was as follows: Yeas: Garber, Bragg, Shull, Wells, Coleman, Pattie
and Carter
Nays: None

Motion carried.

* * * * *

Chairman

County Administrator